

Additional Fees:

No additional fees are believed to be required. However, should it be determined that any additional fees are due, please contact the undersigned attorney for immediate remittance of any such fees.

REMARKS

In the last Office Action, claim 17 was objected to because of a noted informality. Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Ellis et al ("Ellis"). Claim 10 was rejected as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Allport '334. Claims 11-19 were rejected as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Allport '984. Claim 20 was rejected as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Allpart '984 and further in view of Elkind.

By this Response, claim 17 has been corrected in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. However, for clarity, applicant respectfully points out that the control of the remotely located device is for remotely controlling at least one of the wireless digital data signal and the wireless analog video.

The present invention pertains to a display device for use in a multimedia networking environment. For example, the present invention can be used within a home to provide wireless access to Internet content received from an online Internet connection (such as a computer or stand-alone Internet access base station). In addition, the present invention can be used to view television programs or videos from devices such as VCRs or DVD players. In accordance with the present invention, an effective portable display device is obtained that enables a user to roam within a home or office and access Internet content and/or television programming. Unlike any of the prior art, in accordance with the present invention a user can, for example, simultaneously view Internet content and television programs – wherein the wireless signal carrying the Internet content originates from a computer located in one part of the house, while the wireless signal carrying the television programming originates from a cable TV set top box located in another part of

the house. In accordance with the present invention, the user can control both the computer and the set top box while roaming throughout the home, and thus control the information displayed on the display device.

Further, in accordance with the present invention, the wireless display device receives a display signal comprising computer display video data. This computer display video data is generated by a remotely located computer, such as a desktop computer located in the home office, and transmitted wirelessly to the display terminal. This system enables complete mobility for the display terminal while allowing all the functions of the desktop computer. Unlike a notebook style computer, which contains the onboard processing power and usually also include CD drives, etc., the inventive wireless display terminal does not require these items which add to the weight, size and cost of the device. In other versions of the invention, the signals may be transmitted over a wired network, or both wirelessly and over a wired network.

Claims 1-9 were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Ellis et al ("Ellis"). Claim 10 was rejected as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Allport '334. Claims 11-19 were rejected as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Allport '984. Claim 20 was rejected as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Allpart '984 and further in view of Elkind.

As was also pointed out in the last filed Response with regard to the Margulis reference, Applicant respectfully points out that the claimed invention predates the Ellis reference. The present application is the US National Stage application of applicant's PCT application, application no. PCT/US99/21900. The PCT application has a priority date of September 22, 1998. Every reference cited by the examiner has an effective date that is subsequent to the priority date of the claimed invention. Accordingly, applicant respectfully submits the rejections based on anticipation by, or the combination of, any of the cited references is not proper and should be removed.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and allowance of the claims of the application are most respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if there are any questions or suggestions regarding the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

John J. Daniels, Reg. No. 34,808

February 01, 2006

511 Foot Hills Road
Higganum, CT, 06441
(860) 345-7773

CERTIFICATE of Transmission

Date of Transmission: February 1, 2006

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark office to fax number 703-872-9206: 571-273-8300

John J. Daniels